MEMO ENDORSED

Brookfield Place, 200 Vesey Street, 20th Floor New York, NY 10281 Telephone: 212-415-8600 Fax: 212-303-2754 www.lockelord.com

> Gregory T. Casamento Direct Fax; 212-812-8385 geasamento@lockelord.com

Defendant sent to ancion is
en tended to Nov. 22, 2019. Plaintiffe are disable to revent to Defendants Dis
against a grabulation to gusobdite these action by
(Ict. 29,2019. In the event that Maintiffs

ovore the application, their submersion new oute suprorting legal authority.

BY CM/ECF

October 22, 2019

Hon. Paul G. Gardephe Courtroom 705

Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse

40 Foley Square

New York, NY 10007

SU URDERED:

Dated: 00/23 2019

Michelo et al. v. Nat'l Collegiate Student Loan Trust 2007-2 et al., 18-cv-1781 (PGG); Bifulco. et al, v. Nat'l Collegiate Student Loan Trust 2006-4, et al., 18-cv-7692 (PGG)

Dear Judge Gardephe:

We represent the Trust Defendants in the above-referenced consolidated actions. We write on behalf of all defendants, to request that the Court direct Plaintiffs to file a single, consolidated complaint in light of both the Court's November 29, 2018 order consolidating these cases and the Court's October 11, 2019 decision on Defendants' motions to dismiss. If the Court denies this relief, Defendants request a two-week extension of their time to file their answers to Plaintiffs' two complaints from October 25, 2019 to November 8, 2019.

Defendants believe a single, consolidated complaint would promote judicial economy and increase case management efficiency going forward. See, e.g., Katz v. Realty Equities Corp. of New York, 521 F.2d 1354, 1357-58 (2d Cir. 1975). Particularly, it would avoid the duplicative motion practice that has taken place so far and allow for clarity when it comes time for motion practice directed at class certification and summary judgment. A consolidated complaint would increase efficiency by, among other ways, preventing "unnecessary duplication" of work and "reduc[ing] the potential for confusion" as a result of the parties filing multiple, nearly identical papers. Id. at 1358.

Defendants requested that Plaintiffs agree to file a consolidated complaint and an extension of Defendants' time to answer. Plaintiffs responded that they do not think a consolidated complaint is appropriate and that they take no position on Defendants' request for additional time.

If the Court disagrees that Plaintiffs' filing of a consolidated complaint would promote judicial economy, Defendants respectfully request a two week extension of time to answer Plaintiffs' two current complaints.

## Case 1:18-cv-01781-PGG Document 110 Filed 10/22/19 Page 2 of 2

Hon. Paul G. Gardephe October 22, 2019 Page 2

This is the first request for an extension since the Court decided the Defendants' motion to dismiss. The requested extension does not affect any other scheduled dates. The requested extension is not made for the purposes of delay, and is made simply to have an adequate time to address the numerous allegations in both complaints in light of this Court's ruling.

Respectfully,

/s/ Gregory T. Casamento

Gregory T. Casamento

cc: All counsel of record (by CM/ECF)